

Division Director

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-5319 (TDD)

May 7, 1993

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 974 978 343

Mr. Keith Zobell Utah Fuel Company P.O. Box 719 Helper, Utah 84526

Dear Mr. Zobell:

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N93-39-2-1, Utah Fuel Company, Skyline Mine, ACT/007/005, Folder #5, Carbon County, Utah

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above-referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Stephen J. Demczak on April 1, 1993. Rule R645-401-600 et. sec. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violation, has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt of this



Page 2 N93-39-2-1 ACT/007/005 May 7, 1993

letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich Assessment Officer

ibe

Enclosure

cc: Bernie Freeman, OSM

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

COMPANY/MINE Utah Fuel Compan	ny/Skyline Mine	NOV #N93-39-2-1			
PERMIT #_ ACT/007/005_	VIOL	ATION <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>			
ASSESSMENT DATE 05/06/93 ASSESSMENT OFFICER Joseph C. Helfrich					
I. <u>HISTORY MAX 25 PTS</u>					
A. Are there previous viole fall within 1 year of too	ations which are not pendir day's date?	ng or vacated, which			
ASSESSMENT DATE <u>05/06/93</u> EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO DATE <u>05/06/92</u>					
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS			
N92-37-4-1 N92-37-5-1 N92-37-6-1 N92-37-7-1	10/28/92 02/05/93 02/05/93 02/05/93 iolation, up to one year;	1 1 1 1			
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year; No pending notices shall be counted.					
	TOTAL HIST	ORY POINTS 4			

II. SERIOUSNESS (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? <u>Event</u>

A. Event Violations Max 45 PTS

	1.	What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent? Activity outside the approved permit area.				
	2.					
		PROBABILITY	RANGE			
		None	0			
		Unlikely	1-9			
		Likely	10-19			
		Occurred	20			
	ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS					
PRO	VIDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS				
-		cor's statement revealed that a outside the approved permit ar	very insignificant amount of coal had be	<u>een</u>		
	3.	What is the extent of actual	or potential damage? RANGE 0 - 2	25*		
		.	er the duration and extent of said damage impact on the public or environment.	e or		
			ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS	0_		
PRO	VIDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS		-		
No d	lamage	occurred as a result of the vi	olation.	-		
В.	<u>Hind</u>	rance Violations MAX 25 P	<u>TS</u>			
	1.	Is this a potential or actual I		25		
		Assign points based on the potentially hindered by the	extent to which enforcement is actually violation.	y or		
			ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _			
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS						

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) ____20__

III. NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

. . . No Negligence

0

... Negligence

1-15

. . . Greater Degree of Fault

16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS ___8_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Lack of diligence with respect to insuring that operational activities remain within the confines of the permitted area.

- IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)
 - A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

... Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

... Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

. . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

. . . Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- * Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- В. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?
 - ... IF SO DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- . . . Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
- . . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- ... Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
- . . . (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- . . . Extended Compliance (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved

12_

Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?	ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _	-20
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS		
The violation was abated immediately		

٧. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR N93-39-2-1

TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS

١.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	4
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	_20_
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-20

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$ 120.00

ibe